OPEN ACCESS Freely available online

ISSN: 2472-114X
International Journal of Accounting Research

Short Communication

Analyzing the Impact of Behavioral Economics on Financial Reporting Decisions

Sophie Silty*

Department of Health Policy and Management, University of Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

DESCRIPTION

The intersection of behavioral economics and accounting offers a significant insight into how psychological factors influence financial reporting and decision-making processes. Traditional accounting principles have long been rooted in the assumption of rational decision-making, where stakeholders are supposed to act in a purely logical manner to maximize their utility. However, behavioral economics introduces a more subtle understanding of human behavior, recognizing that cognitive biases, key factors and social influences significantly impact financial decision-making [1-3].

Behavioral economics challenges the concept of the perfectly rational agent by exploring how real-world decisions deviate from the rational model. This field combines insights from psychology and economics to examine how cognitive biases and emotional factors shape individuals' decisions. When applied to accounting, behavioral economics helps to explain why financial reporting practices and decision-making processes might deviate from traditional theories of rationality [4-6].

One key area where behavioral economics intersects with accounting is in the understanding of financial reporting decision-making. Financial reporting is not exclusively a mechanical process of recording transactions and presenting financial statements; it is also influenced by the choices and judgments of managers, auditors and other stakeholders. Behavioral economics offers valuable insights into how these decision-makers' biases and heuristics impact the accuracy and reliability of financial reports.

One prominent bias in financial reporting is the overconfidence bias, where individuals overestimate their knowledge or abilities. In accounting, overconfidence can lead to optimistic financial forecasts and overly aggressive revenue recognition practices. For instance, managers may be excessively optimistic about future revenue streams, leading to the recognition of revenue before it is actually earned. This bias can result in financial statements that do not accurately reflect the company's true financial condition, potentially misleading investors and other stakeholders [7].

Another relevant bias is the confirmation bias, where individuals seek out information that confirms their preexisting beliefs and ignore contradictory evidence. In the context of financial reporting, confirmation bias can affect how auditors and managers interpret financial data. For example, if an auditor has a preconceived notion that a company's financial practices are sound, they might overlook signs of financial manipulation or irregularities. This bias can undermine the effectiveness of audits and contribute to the persistence of financial reporting errors [8].

A cognitive bias where individuals depend heavily on the first piece of information they receive when making decisions, also plays a role in financial reporting. For example, if a company sets a high initial budget for a project, subsequent financial decisions and performance evaluations may be influenced by that initial figure, regardless of its relevance. Anchoring can lead to inertia and resistance to adjusting financial estimates based on new information, affecting the accuracy of financial reports.

The impact of loss aversion, another concept from behavioral economics, is also significant in financial reporting. Loss aversion refers to the tendency for individuals to prefer avoiding losses rather than acquiring equivalent gains. In financial reporting, this bias can manifest in conservative accounting practices, where managers may delay recognizing gains but accelerate the recognition of losses to avoid potential future negative outcomes. While this approach might seem careful, it can lead to financial statements that are overly conservative and do not accurately reflect the company's financial performance [9].

Behavioral economics also highlights the importance of social and organizational influences on financial decision-making. For example, where the desire for harmony and consensus within a group leads to irrational decision-making, can impact corporate financial decisions. In an organizational setting, if senior

Correspondence to: Sophie Silty, Department of Health Policy and Management, University of Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, E-mail: sophiesilty@gmail.com

Received: 30-Aug-2024, Manuscript No. IJAR-24-27285; **Editor assigned:** 02-Sep-2024, PreQC No. IJAR-24-27285 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 16-Sep-2024, QC No. IJAR-24-27285; **Revised:** 23-Sep-2024, Manuscript No. IJAR-24-27285 (R); **Published:** 30-Sep-2024, DOI: 10.35248/2472-114X.24.12.387

Citation: Silty S (2024). Analyzing the Impact of Behavioral Economics on Financial Reporting Decisions. Int J Account Res. 12:387.

Copyright: © 2024 Silty S. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

management promotes a particular financial strategy, employees may conform to this approach even if it is not the most rational or effective. This social influence can affect the accuracy and reliability of financial reporting, as individuals may prioritize organizational over objective analysis. Understanding these behavioral factors is important for improving financial reporting practices and enhancing accountability. By acknowledging the role of cognitive biases and emotional influences, organizations can implement measures to mitigate their impact [10].

REFERENCES

1. Cho I, Bates DW. Behavioral economics interventions in clinical decision support systems. Yearb Med Inform. 2018;27(1):114-121.
2. Strong DR, Pierce JP, White M, Stone MD, Abrams DB, Glasser AM, et al. Changes in tobacco dependence and association with onset and progression of use by product type from waves 1 to 3 of the population assessment of Tobacco and Health (PATH) study. Nicotine Tob Res. 2023;25(11):1781-1790.
3. Mansilla-Obando K, Llanos G, Gómez-Sotta E, Buchuk P, Ortiz F, Aguirre M, et al. Eco-innovation in the food industry: Exploring consumer motivations in an emerging market. Foods. 2023;13(1): 4.
4. Lin S, Ma Y, Zou H. A brief metric framework for patient adherence to doctor's advice based on behavioral economics. Patient Prefer Adherence. 2020;25:371-381.
5. Cabedo PJ, Gonzalez SF, Merino SC, Pablo JÁ, Toledano F. Decision making in addictive behaviors based on prospect theory: A systematic review. Healthcare (Basel). 2022;10(9):1659.
6. Zhao L, Chen H, Wen C, Yu J. Digital transformation of the agricultural industry: Behavioral decision-making, influencing factors, and simulation practices in the Yunnan highlands. J Environ Manage. 2024;358:120881.
7. Huo H, Liu X. Behavioral decision-making of government, agricultural product producers, and consumers on agricultural product quality and safety regulation in a digital environment. Front Public Health. 2024;12:1373747.
8. Levy DT, Liber AC, Cadham C, Sanchez LM, Hyland A, Cummings M, et al. Follow the money: A closer look at US tobacco industry marketing expenditures. Tob Control. 2023;32(5):575-582.
9. Teng Y, Pang B, Wei J, Ma L, Yang H, Tian Z, et al. Behavioral decision-making of the government, farmer-specialized cooperatives, and farmers regarding the quality and safety of agricultural products. Front Public Health. 2022;10:920936.
10. Xie Y, Su Y, Li F. The evolutionary game analysis of low carbon production behaviour of farmers, government and consumers in food safety source governance. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(19):12211.